		<u> Эс</u> т
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3		
4	In re)	
5) CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION) Master Docket	
6	OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK) 18-MD-2865 (LAK)	
7	(SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX)	
8	REFUND SCHEME LITIGATION)	
9))	
10	This document relates to:)	
11	All cases)	
12		
13		
14		
15	CONFIDENTIAL	
16		
17		
18	VIDEO EXAMINATION OF	
19	ISABELLE SALOMONE	
20	Zürich, Switzerland	
21	Tuesday, January 17, 2023	
22	2:00 p.m. (CEST)	
23		
24		
25	Reported by: CHRISTINE MYERLY	
,		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	VIDEO EXAMINATION OF
6	
7	ISABELLE SALOMONE
8	Zürich, Sqitzerland
9	Tuesday, January 17, 2023
10	2:00 p.m. (CEST)
11	
12	Examination of ISABELLE SALOMONE, (via Interpreter)
13	held at:
14	Offices of LALIVE SA
15	Stampfenbachplatz 4
16	Zürich, Switzerland
17	
18	And WebEx via New York, NY
19	
20	Pursuant to agreement, before Christine Myerly,
21	Court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	
ĺ	

1	TRIBUNAL	. 1
2	S. BÜLLER, JUDGE(via Interpreter)	
3	L. STEWART-SMITH, REGISTRAR	
4	A. DÜRMÜLLER, SECRETARY	
5		
6		
7	APPEARANCES	
8	Attorneys for Azalea, et al	
9	KOSTELANETZ & FINK	
10	250 Greenwich Street	
11	New York, NY 10007	
12	Via VTC	
13	BY: NICHOLAS H. BAHNSEN, ESQ.	
14	Attorneys for SKAT	
15	HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED	
16	One Battery Park Plaza	
17	New York, NY 10004	
18	BY: NEIL OXFORD, ESQ.	
19	MARC WEINSTEIN, ESQ.	
20	Via VTC	
21	ERIN PAMUKCU, ESQ.	
22	LALIVE SPA	
23	Stampfenbachplatz 4	
24	Zürich, Switzerland 8006	
25	BY: SANDRINE GIROUD	
1		

1	APPEARANCES
2	(CONTINUED)
3	DOMINIK ELMIGER(via Interpreter)
4	ANDRÉ SANTEN
5	Attorneys for Acorn Capital
6	HANAMIRIAN LAW FIRM
7	40 E. Main Street
8	Moorestown, NJ 08057
9	Via VTC
10	JOHN M. HANAMIRIAN, ESQ.
11	Attorneys for Société Générale, Paris, Zurich Branch
12	WALDERWYSS (Outside Counsel/Witness)
13	Seefeldstrasse 123
14	Zürich, Switzerland 8034
15	DIETER HOFMANN(via Interpreter)
16	KERSTIN ARNESSON
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT:
19	Jose Rivera, Videographer
20	Clarissa John, Interpreter
21	Richard Markowitz, Defendant
22	Boris Frederiksen, KPS
23	Dr. Anne Kristine K. Egholm, KPS
24	Dr. J.F. Götz Gallenkamp(Inhouse Counsel Société
25	Générale, Paris, Zurich Branch)

T**	
Page	
Fauc	

		Page 5
1	EXAMINATION	
2		
3	Examination	PAGE
4	By The Tribunal	12
5	By Mr. Oxford	19
6	By Mr. Bahnsen	22
7		
8		
9	* * * *	
10		
11		
12	EXHIBITS	
13		
14	EXHIBITS	
15	5365 - WalderWyss Letter July 4, 2022 20	
16		
17		
18	* * * *	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
i		

GregoryEdwards, LLC | Worldwide Court Reporting GregoryEdwards.com | 866-4Team GE

1	(On the record at 2:02 p.m.)
2	(0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
3	JUDGE BÜLLER: So we are going to
4	translate into English first and then into French.
5	I would like to welcome to this proceeding
6	between SKAT and different Defendants and witnesses.
7	So I would like to present to you the
8	Court. The minute writer is Madame Smith, then
9	auditor is Madame Dürmüller, then the single Judge
10	is Madame Büller. Yes, and I am the interpreter,
11	Clarissa John. I must respect Article 307, 320,
12	keep confidential all the information and translate
13	faithfully.
14	So, we have already a list of all the
15	persons present in this room, and later we will have
16	the names of all the people which are online with us
17	will be given to us.
18	So, next to Mr. Oxford we have
19	Mr. Elmiger, Madame Giroud, Mr. Weinstein, Mr.
20	Santen, Mr. Frederickson, Madame Anne Egholm.
21	MR. ELMIGER: English.
22	THE WITNESS: So, I am working for Bank
23	Société Générale. And since June '22, I am in the
24	Department at Securities Services. And I was born
25	16 of June '73, and my place of origin is Zürich.

1	MR. ELMIGER: English.
2	INTERPRETER: So, the request was that she
3	sits in the middle of the room for better hearing.
4	Afterwards, she will be asked to sit in the middle.
5	JUDGE BÜLLER: Short introduction
6	regarding the topic of today. So, we are doing this
7	hearing with our testimony on the request within the
8	framework of request for judicial assistance sent by
9	the District Court of New York.
10	And the Plaintiff is asking for this
11	procedure the refund of tax refunds which were
12	requested illicitly.
13	In April 12 of last year, we asked Société
14	Générale to send different files to us, and on the
15	4th of July they responded that they have no files
16	which fall under the legislation which makes
17	mandatory the sending of these files.
18	Although this is the situation, the
19	Claimant, or the Plaintiff, asked to make this
20	hearing with the testimony. And I would like to
21	point out that everything that is going to be said
22	in this room will be recorded from from the
23	Court, and also a video will be recorded, and the
24	stenographer is also writing down everything that is
25	going to be said. And the Court is editing the

1	minutes afterwards on the basis of the tapes
2	recorded, not now.
3	MR. ELMIGER: We only have some
4	organizational remarks regarding this hearing.
5	So, the legal information for our
6	testimony is very important, also the consequences
7	regarding false information are very important. And
8	this should also be recorded. And that it is
9	important that we stick to the wording of the
10	request for judicial assistance sent to the Court in
11	its original version.
12	And it is important that the Court sticks
13	to the facts as written down in the request for
14	legal assistance, and also sticks to the period
15	mentioned in this request for legal assistance.
16	And also when the Parties are asking
17	questions, they should limit themselves to the facts
18	and the period mentioned in the request. And also
19	when a response is given to these questions, those
20	should also stick to the period and the facts
21	mentioned in the request.
22	And is it correct that the Witness has
23	received the relevant questions and information
24	before coming to this hearing?
25	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, I suppose that you

1	received definitions and the questions before coming
2	here?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. We read the letter
4	sent to Société Générale, and we are also aware of
5	the definitions.
6	JUDGE BÜLLER: Two preliminary remarks
7	from my side.
8	So, it is important for me to underline
9	that we give this legal assistance for the main
10	proceedings at the Court in New York. Because we
11	evaluated the balance between the bank
12	confidentiality and the information that we
13	received, and we want to point out that these
14	informations cannot be used within criminal
15	procedure or within a procedure of where its tax
16	refunds are the main topic.
17	So, all the information given here is for
18	the civil procedure in New York and not for criminal
19	or tax procedure.
20	So, first we are going to ask the Witness
21	the questions as they are written down in the
22	request for legal assistance. Then the Claimant can
23	ask additional questions, and then the Defendant can
24	ask.
25	

1	So, Madame Salomone, you are here as a
2	witness today. So, I just want to point out that
3	you must say the truth. And if intentionally you
4	give false informations, in accordance to Article
5	307 of the Criminal Procedure, you can be punished
6	with up to five years of imprisonment or a fine.
7	And I want to point out that as Article
8	160 of the Civil Procedure says, you are it is
9	mandatory for you to give information.
10	Then I must point out to you that
11	according to Article 165 and 166 of the Code of
12	Civil Procedure, there is a right to refuse to
13	testify in cases of family relationship,
14	relationship by marriage, spouses, life partners, as
15	well as in the case of official and professional
16	secrecy.
17	And the same is with regard to questions
18	that would represent a disadvantage for yourself or
19	a family member, member of the family of your
20	husband, so same enumeration as before.
21	I also need to point out the right of the
22	Witness not to answer the questions which are in the
23	request for judicial assistance. It is under the
24	laws of the United States, a party has privilege to
25	refuse to disclose the contents of the confidential

1	communications between that party and the attorney
2	that was made for the purpose of obtaining legal
3	advice.
4	Then the second is United States law also
5	recognizes a testimonial privilege for individuals
6	against criminal self-incrimination. This privilege
7	does not apply to production of documents by an
8	entity, such as Société Générale.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	JUDGE BÜLLER: And also, I must point out
11	that under Swiss law, if you refuse to collaborate
12	with the Court, you can be punished with a fine or
13	be enforced to give an answer.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	JUDGE BÜLLER: Did you understand all
16	this?
17	THE WITNESS: So, I hope I understood
18	everything and within the framework of the
19	hearing to come.
20	JUDGE BÜLLER: Is there any other
21	question? Because you said that you think you
22	understand, so do you have a question?
23	THE WITNESS: I would like to ask from the
24	Court, with regard to my professional
25	confidentiality, an authorization from the Court to

1	give an answer.
2	JUDGE BÜLLER: You mean with regard to the
3	bank confidentiality?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. My professional
5	confidentiality, and the bank confidentiality. Yes.
6	JUDGE BÜLLER: Yes, we have already
7	considered these interests in our decision. With
8	regard to the questions in the request for legal
9	assistance, the interest in establishing the truth
10	takes precedence over banking and professional
11	secrecy. In the case of follow-up questions, the
12	Court will clarify this again for each question
13	individually.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY JUDGE BÜLLER:
17	Q. So, what was your position between
18	the 1st January 2014 and 30 August 2015?
19	A. So, during this period I was the
20	relationship manager in the department Securities
21	Services. It is the department for securities at
22	Société Générale in Zürich.
23	Q. How do you relate to the if you
24	relate to this the other party at all, how do you
25	relate to the Claimant?

_		
	1	A. No, I have no relationship with
	2	the Claimant. Do you mean personal relationship?
	3	Q. Yes.
	4	A. No, I have no personal
	5	relationship with the Claimant.
	6	Q. Do you have a personal
	7	relationship with any of the defendants?
	8	A. No.
	9	Q. Do you have any entries in your
1	L 0	criminal records?
	L1	A. No.
1	L2	Q. Well, we pass to the questions of
1	13	the request for legal assistance. And it is really
	L 4	important that you answer these questions in the
1	L5	sense of the definitions as they are written down in
1	16	the request for legal assistance.
-	17	A. It is good. Yes. Fine.
	18	Q. I suppose you are aware of the
-	19	definitions?
	20	A. Yes. The most important
	21	definition for us was the definition of Custodian.
	22	And the result of and the list of the companies.
	23	And our research has shown that only one company is
	24	concerned within the mentioned period.
1	25	Q. So, actually my question was only
'	د ی	y. Do, accurry my quod or man one;

	1	if you are aware of the definitions, if you remember
-	2	those, or if you want me to read them out loud again
	3	for you.
	4	A. I read the definitions. And if
	5	necessary, I will ask the Court to read them out
	6	loud again for me.
	7	Q. Again, I want to point out that in
	8	all my questions, I only refer to the period between
	9	January 1st, 2014 until August 30, 2015.
	10	Good. So, we read out loud the questions
	11	which are written down in the request for legal
	12	assistance. The Judge is reading them out in
	13	German, I am in English, and then I translate it
	14	into French for the witness.
	15	What is the nature of the relationship, if
	16	any, between Société Générale and the Custodians?
	17	A. So, in the mentioned period, the
	18	relationship with one Custodian on the list
	19	consisted in giving security services from the
	20	department Securities Services.
	21	Q. What services, if any, were
	22	provided by Société Générale to Custodians in
	23	connection with custodying any Danish securities for
	24	the Custodians?
	25	A. None.

1	Q. We pass to four sub questions.
2	What services were provided to the
3	Custodians in opening accounts?
4	A. You mean the question before
5	regarding Danish securities?
6	Q. Yes. The question before, what
7	services were provided, if any, were provided by
8	Société Générale to Custodians in connection with
9	the custodying any Danish securities for the
10	custodians.
11	And the first sub question is, what
12	services were provided to Custodians in opening
13	accounts?
14	A. None. No services with regard to
15	the mentioned period in connection with the
16	custodying of Danish securities.
17	Q. Second sub question. What
18	services were provided to Custodians in the
19	maintenance of accounts?
20	A. With regard to the custodying of
21	Danish securities, no services were provided in the
22	mentioned period.
23	Q. Third sub question. What services
24	were provided to Custodians in the closing of
25	accounts?

1	A. So, again, with regard to the
2	custodying of Danish securities, no services were
3	provided to the Custodian.
4	Q. Fourth sub questions. Were
5	special services provided to Custodians in
6	connection with custodying any Danish securities for
7	the Custodians?
8	A. There was no service provided to
9	the Custodians in relation with Danish securities.
10	Q. Next question. What is Société
11	Générale's knowledge of whether Custodians held any
12	Danish securities?
13	A. No, we don't know.
14	Q. So, we have another two sub
15	questions regarding this question. First sub
16	question. Does Société Générale know anything about
17	the manner in which Danish Securities were handled
18	by the custodians?
19	A. I have no knowledge of any
20	purchase of Danish securities by the Custodian.
21	Q. You said, I have no knowledge.
22	Are you talking about the personal knowledge, or are
23	you talking in the name of the Société Générale?
24	Maybe you can precise.
25	A. I speak in the name of Société

1	Générale.
2	Q. Second sub question. Does Société
3	Générale know anything about the manner in which
4	Danish Securities were handled by the custodians?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Next question. What is Société
7	Générale's knowledge of whether the Custodians
8	received dividends from the ownership of Danish
 9	securities?
10	A. We don't know anything.
11	Q. Here we also have two sub
12	questions. What details does Société Générale have
13	concerning the receipt of such dividends?
14	A. We had no dividends and we had no
15	information about dividends resulting from Danish
16	securities.
17	Q. Second sub question. What is
18	Société Générale's knowledge of who were the
19	ultimate beneficiaries of those dividends?
20	A. As I said, I have no information
21	concerning the Custodians, and there were no Danish
22	securities with us. And I have no knowledge about
23	dividends, and I have no knowledge no information
24	regarding beneficiaries. And my answer concerns
25	I speak in the name of Société Générale, and not

1	personally.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	MR. ELMIGER: Actually, I would like to
13	ask if we can use a different wording. I mean, if I
14	can ask if Société Générale could edit documents
15	pursuant to paragraph 11, and then this question
16	would be void.
17	BY JUDGE BÜLLER:
18	Q. So, you mean that you just want to
19	ask if there are documents as per the disclosure
20	order, and you mean that we can then also skip
21	question F. Yes.
22	So, can you answer the question, if
23	Société Générale can just deliver documents as the
24	decision from the Court is requesting it?
25	A. So, you mean documents regarding

1	Danish securities and Danish dividends resulting
2	from the securities?
3	Q. Yes. The question is, if you can
4	deliver documents as the disclosure order is saying
5	it?
6	A. So, I am not sure if I understood
7	the question. And I don't have the document to
8	which you refer in my mind.
9	So, we had no Danish securities, and
10	therefore, we have no documents regarding these
11	Danish securities. And we have not paid any
12	dividends, and hence, we have no documentation
13	regarding the payment of such dividends.
14	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, I think this question
15	is answered. And we pass now to the questions from
16	the Parties.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. OXFORD:
19	Q. Thank you, Your Honor. Good
20	afternoon, Ms. Salomone.
21	You mentioned that Société Générale
22	provided services to only one of the Custodians
23	mentioned in the letter of request, which was that
24	one Custodian?
25	A. It is Solo Capital Partner LLP.

,	
-	O
1	Q. Ms. Salomone
2	JUDGE BÜLLER: Can I see it first? Do you
3	have a second. Thanks.
4	(Exhibit 5365 was marked for identification.)
5	BY MR. OXFORD:
6	Q. Ms. Salomone, I have handed you
7	what I have marked in our litigation as Exhibit
8	5365. Do you recognize this document?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Can you tell me what it is,
11	please?
12	A. This is a document edited from
13	WalderWyss. I imagine it was handled in the context
14	of this procedure. This is a document which was
15	edited within the framework of the proceedings on
16	the ground based on the information gathered
17	internally.
18	Q. Based on your work on behalf of
19	Société Générale in responding to SKAT's letter of
20	request, is the letter that I put in front of you,
21	Exhibit 5365, accurate?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Can you tell me, please, what
24	services, if any, Société Générale provided to Solo
25	Capital Partners?

1	JUDGE BÜLLER: You only refer to the
2	period we have already mentioned several times,
3	January 1st, 2014 until August 30, 2015?
4	MR. OXFORD: Yes.
5	A. And the question was?
6	JUDGE BÜLLER: The question was
7	A. What services
8	BY MR. OXFORD:
9	Q. What services, if any, did Société
10	Générale provide to Solo Capital Partners?
11	A. As I already said before with
12	regard to Danish securities, there were no services
13	provided. As the document says, services with
14	regard to the hold of securities and I talk about
15	the titles mentioned on the paragraph number eight.
16	So, there were no services but we were
17	holding titles there were no services for Danish
18	securities, but we had in our deposit titles which
19	are listed on the paragraph number eight.
20	MR. OXFORD: Thank you. I have no further
21	questions at this time.
22	JUDGE BÜLLER: Good. So, we pass to
23	additional questions from the side of the defense.
24	MR. BAHNSEN: Hello. Bon jour, Madame.
25	Good afternoon everybody. This is Nicholas Bahnsen

1	on behalf of John van Merkensteijn, and a number of
2	other Defendants. Is everybody able to hear me
3	okay?
4	MR. OXFORD: Yes.
5	MR. BAHNSEN: Thank you very much.
6	JUDGE BÜLLER: One second. We have to
7	translate everything.
8	Okay. You can go on.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
11	Q. Thank you. I have a few questions
12	about Société Générale's responses today.
13	Can we start, did Société Générale include
14	securities when it responded to the question about
15	whether there were interests in Danish securities?
16	Excuse me. Let me start over.
17	Did Société Générale include equities in
18	its understanding of Danish securities in responding
19	to these requests?
20	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form. Objection
21	to form.
22	JUDGE BÜLLER: Do you want to me to
23	elaborate on this?
24	MR. OXFORD: No. My objection is simply
25	to the form of the question to preserve my objection

1	for US purposes.
2	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
3	Q. Madame Salomone, if you understand
4	the question, you may answer it.
5	JUDGE BÜLLER: But please always refer to
6	the period we mentioned, and to our Custodians, and
7	to the securities we are talking about today.
8	A. Well, in your question referred to
9	the 28 equities where from which the name was
10	given to us
11	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
12	Q. In considering those particular
13	companies, did Société Générale understand swaps to
14	be part of the securities it was asked to look into?
15	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
16	A. So, swap products do not belong to
17	the securities accepted for deposit at Société
18	Générale Securities Services in Zürich.
19	JUDGE BÜLLER: Do you have other
20	questions?
21	MR. BAHNSEN: Yes.
22	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
23	Q. Did you consider options with
24	respect to the listed companies?
25	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.

1	
1	A. So, options do not belong to the
2	securities accepted by Société Générale Securities
3	Services in Zürich to be deposited with us.
4	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
5	Q. Did you consider contracts for
6	difference?
7	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
8	A. So, no contract for difference is
9	accepted by Société Générale Securities Services in
10	Zürich for deposit.
11	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
12	Q. Would you have any information
13	about whether another part of Société Générale
14	accepts those various products?
15	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
16	JUDGE BÜLLER: Unfortunately, we cannot
17	allow this questions because we only refer to the
18	facts and the definitions of the judicial assistance
19	request.
20	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
21	Q. I will move on. If you would
22	please, take a look at the document that Mr. Oxford
23	handed to you and was marked Exhibit 5365. I will
24	direct your attention to paragraph 10, sub part C.
25	Please let me know when you have reviewed it.

1		
	1	JUDGE BÜLLER: Okay.
	2	A. Yes.
	3	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
	4	Q. Did Société Générale attempt to
	5	find any connection between the customer referenced
	6	here and any Defendant identified in the definitions
	7	to the request for assistance?
	8	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
	9	A. So, with regard to the period we
	10	refer to, I mean 1st January 2014 until August 30,
	11	2015, we have not identified any connection.
	12	And if it would help, I can elaborate on
	13	this. We have done research, as described in the
	14	letter, in order to be sure that no payment was done
	15	for Danish securities.
	16	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
	17	Q. I just want to make sure I
	18	understand you. The written response is limited to
	19	Custodians, and my question had to do with
	20	Defendants. Do you understand that distinction?
	21	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
	22	JUDGE BÜLLER: I do not allow this
	23	question as we here, we only refer to the connection
	24	with the Custodians and not to the connection with
	25	the Defendants.
	1	

ſ		MD DAUNGDAL OLG
	1	MR. BAHNSEN: Okay.
	2	JUDGE BÜLLER: Are there further
	3	questions?
	4	MR. BAHNSEN: Yes. Sorry, I was just
	5	letting the translations complete.
	6	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
	7	Q. So, you are aware that there is a
	8	list of Defendants attached to the request for
	9	assistance, correct?
	10	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
	11	JUDGE BÜLLER: Is this actually a question
	12	to the Court or to the Witness?
	13	MR. BAHNSEN: This is to the Witness.
	14	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, do you know this list
	15	with the Defendants?
	16	A. Well, I don't know this list by
	17	heart. I just refer to what I know when I responded
	18	to the Court. Could I have a more precise question?
	19	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
	20	Q. Was Société Générale asked to
	21	investigate whether any pension plan Defendant held
	22	accounts at Société Générale?
-	23	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
	24	MS. ARNESSON: I understood the question
	25	as to whether Société Générale was also asked to
•		

1	research whether pension plan maybe you could
2	JUDGE BÜLLER: Just for the translation,
3	could you please repeat your question.
4	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
5	Q. Of course. Was Société Générale
6	asked to investigate whether any pension plan
7	Defendant held accounts at Société Générale?
8	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
9	A. So, the Société Générale
10	Securities Services in Zürich had in the period we
11	are referring to very few clients for these security
 12	services in the mentioned period.
13	We only had about 40 clients, and most of
14	them were in the group. And the others, if there
15	were any, would have been easy to find. So, we had
16	no client connected to this affair.
17	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
18	Q. You used I am sorry.
19	JUDGE BÜLLER: Do you have any other
20	questions?
21	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
22	Q. Just a clarification. On the last
23	answer, you said, With respect to this affair. I
24	want to understand, do you mean with respect to the
25	request for assistance?
1	

·····	······································
1	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
1	
2	A. Yes, I speak in connection with
3	the topic that brings us together here today.
4	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
5	Q. Just a few more. I promise.
6	You stated that Société Générale only had
7	a relationship with Solo Capital Partners LLP, and
8	it identified no other Custodian, correct?
9	MR. OXFORD: Objection to form.
10	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, I just want to point
11	out that in this proceeding we only refer to Old
12	Park Lane Capital PLC, Solo Capital Partners LLP,
13	Telesto Markets LLP, and West Point Derivates Ltd,
14	and the affiliated companies.
15	A. Can you please repeat the
16	question?
17	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
18	Q. I am going to rephrase it
19	slightly. Apart from the four entities that the
20	Court just identified, did Société Générale
21	investigate any other entities as Custodians in the
22	context of this request?
23	MR. OXFORD: Objection to the form.
24	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, we do not allow this
25	question because we only refer today to these four

}	
1	entities. And Société Générale has no obligation
2	to with regard to other entities to answer the
3	question.
4	BY MR. BAHNSEN:
5	Q. So, with respect to the
6	relationship between Société Générale and Solo
7	Capital Partners LLP, was there any restriction on
8	Solo Capital Partners' ability to have accounts at
9	other institutions?
10	MR. OXFORD: Object to the form.
11	JUDGE BÜLLER: I do not allow this
12	question. I would like to point out to you that we
13	are here only with to the Danish securities as
14	defined in the Court order and in the request for
15	legal assistance. We have very hard restrictions
16	here in Switzerland, and we do not allow you to
17	investigate further on this case.
18	Otherwise, I would end this hearing now if
19	you do not stick to the order and the legal
20	request the request for judicial assistance.
21	Do you have further questions?
22	MR. BAHNSEN: No. With that understanding
23	of the Court's position on the limitations of
24	today's testimony, I have no further questions.
25	Thank you very much.
-	

1	JUDGE BÜLLER: Thank you so much. Do you
2	have a question of other Defendant representatives?
3	(Pause.)
4	JUDGE BÜLLER: No other Defendant
5	representatives want to ask questions?
6	(Pause.)
7	So, we continue.
8	MR. ELMIGER: Can you please translate?
9	MS. ARNESSON: I try. The question was
10	regarding a follow up question from Mr. Bahnsen,
11	where I understood that he referred to the
12	understanding in the submission dated the 4th of
13	July 2022. I am sorry, I don't recall the exhibit
14	number that you referred to.
15	In there, he asked a question that the
16	submission is just referring to Custodians and not
17	to the Defendant. And in the German translation of
18	the follow up question, it was translated that the
19	Witness made the limitation to the Custodian. And
20	it was not translated that it was in the submission
21	that this limitation was made.
22	MR. BAHNSEN: I am sorry. Is the question
23	for me then?
24	JUDGE BÜLLER: This was just for the
25	minutes and the persons present in this room.

1	MR. BAHNSEN: I apologize for the
2	intrusion.
3	JUDGE BÜLLER: No problem.
4	MR. HOFMANN: So, I just would like to
5	point out that Société Générale would like to note
6	that they have cooperated fully, within the
7	guidelines of the Court, and have created
8	transparency in this matter. And I just I wanted
9	to out this. And I hope that you appreciate this
10	cooperation.
11	JUDGE BÜLLER: So, we are at the end of
12	this witness hearing. I just want to ask Madame
13	Salomone if she wants a refund for the journey?
14	THE WITNESS: No, I do not insist on a
15	refund. It was important to serve here as a
16	witness. Thank you very much.
17	MR. ELMIGER: I just would like to mention
18	that the transcript, which will be edited, will
19	circulate between the Parties, which can add
20	comments to it, and also the Witness will have the
21	possibility to receive this transcript.
22	(Court reporter clarification.)
23	INTERPRETER: He (indicating Mr. Elmiger)
24	said that the Witness can also make comments, and
25	the Judge said that the Court would also like to

1	have a version.
2	JUDGE BÜLLER: Thank you. So, this
3	hearing is closed.
4	
5	I thank the organizers for the excellent
6	organization. And thank you, Madame Salomone, for
	coming here.
7	(Off the record.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
L	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	I, Christine Myerly, do hereby certify that
3	I took the stenotype notes of the foregoing
4	examination and that the transcript thereof is a
5	true and accurate record transcribed to the best of
6	my skill and ability.
7	I further certify that I am neither
8	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
9	the parties to the action in which this deposition
10	was taken, and that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by
12	the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise
13	interested in the outcome of the action.
14	Dated this 19th day of January, 2023.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Christine Alyrly
24	
25	Christine Myerly
PV444044V44	
1	